REPOSITORY

STATINTL

E. 70,379 8. 79,920

APR 10 1966

## Spies Who Came To Court

Final argument in a slander case involving Eerik Heine, an accused Soviet spy, and Juri Raus, an admitted operative of the Central Intelligence Agency, will be heard in Baltimore Friday.

Aside from the melodramatic and romantic aspects of the confrontation, deep questions about rights and privilege are being raised.

Eerik Heine, an Estonian emigre who has established a reputation as a freedom fighter, is suing Juri Raus, also Estonian, for slander. Heine alleges that Raus on three occasions accused him of being a Comunist and a Soviet agent. Raus denies making the statements at the times mentioned in the suit, but admits that he called the plaintiff a Soviet agent or collaborator. Involved are Heine's claims for \$10,000 in compensatory damages and \$100,000 in punitive damages.

Raus is an admitted operative of the Central Intelligence Agency. On at least one occasion five CIA lawyers have tried to keep Raus from having to answer questions. The CIA has told the court: "When Juri Raus spoke concerning the plaintiff on the occasions about which complaint is made, he was acting within the scope and course of his employment by the Agency on behalf of the United States."

The CIA by the very nature of its activities

is prohibited from answering its critics or pointing with pride to its successes, which most certainly must have countervailed the boo-boos.

Attempts have been made in Congress to put a shorter rein on The Agency — the only government entity given automatic capital leters in Washington conversation. The answer invariably is that the House Armed Services CIA subcommittee and the corresponding subcommittee of the Senate Armed Services Committee each maintains intimate and careful surveillance of espionage and counter-esuionage activities.

Spying is a dirty and embarrassing thing for; a democracy, but the trial of the slander suit. in Baltimore raises questions to try the most sensitive minds.

Even in a completely open society, should the individual's rights to a good reputation jeopardize, as the judge has said, "the entire U. S. counter-espionage apparatus?" On the other hand, should an admitted counter-spy—who didn't bring up his CIA affiliation until a year after the court action was brought — be allowed the complete shield of a government immune to legal processes?

These are good questions. A more practical one is, if Heine really is a Soviet agent, why hasn't he been arrested?